Dear Independent Redistricting Commission,

Thank you for the acceptance of my testimonial presentation at the Brooklyn Redistricting Conference held this evening.

Sorry time did not permit presentation of the full testimony prepared for the evening. Annexed thereto, is a copy of the full text [8 pgs.] intended to be presented to the Commission, including additional paragraphs comprising the actual precepts of law referenced in support of the testimonial. If additional information is required please feel free to contact the presenter either by email or phone at 718-290-0407.

Respectfully submitted ,

 Barbara Taylor

 Barbara N. Taylor

**Subject : Request For Restoral of Original 25th District Border/Block in 2022**

**Description of the Original 10-Yr Redistrict District Plan Enacted In 2012**

I stand before the Independent Redistricting Commission, awaiting the commission’s decision respecting the drawing of the permanent district lines [re]forming the original ***block on border*** boundaries of the existing State Senatorial District 25 in the County of Kings as enacted under a conditional proposed 10-year redistricting plan.

Following the 2010 Federal Census a proposal was submitted to the legislative redistrict drafting commission to enact a new State Senatorial District 25, formed by the drawing of *“soft”* boundary lines *temporarily* dividing former Senatorial District 18 into two separate districts to represent the various Multi –Member ethnic groups residing therein for the purpose of addressing the hidden levels of inconspicuous self-racial hatred and violence present among the different mixed ethnic groups , pending issuance of a final 10-year redistricting change decision in 2022.

Thereby formal application is presented to the commission to take into consideration when enacting its decision concerning the drawing of the State Senatorial District 25 map borders inclusion of the Candidate’s residence [block] , by virtue of a covenant agreement enacted in 2012 to [re]draw the original Senatorial District 25 boundary lines by Election Year 2022; and to grant temporary wavier of the residency requirement to any Candidate influenced by the redistrict change by requirement of the “block on border” redistricting rule [inter alia], Public Officer Law §3 (19), and currently under provision of New York Constitution Article III § 7 that , “towns or blocks that could be placed in multiple districts be kept together and assigned to a single district rather than divided up.

My present concern is of the drawing of the permanent *“hard”* district- lines indirectly controlling my ability as a Candidate to appear on the Party Petitions and Ballot in State Senatorial District 25 , by virtue of an existing rumor implying a further delay may occur concerning the decision to set up and redraw the original and proposed new District 25 boundary lines in 2022.

**Confirmed Findings In Conclusion of Review of 10-Yr. Redistrict Plan Goals**

1. Certain identifiable common interests, current political goals and issues must be taken into consideration with respect to the final redrawing and revisal of a[ny] final State Senatorial 25 district boundary lines.
2. And additional time may be needed for the mixed multi-member ethnic groups to work out certain differences [ie. hidden racial hate, cultural and educational common interest differences , and hidden patterns of self-hate] .
3. And a[n] official ruling on specific informally raised Candidate eligibilityquestions of law and fact , may be essential in order to resolve disputes resulting from open/closed debates related to the general inconsistencies, irregularities, applicabilities, relevancies, and erosion of laws, rules, … codes governing the general compliances related to candidate residency and redistricting requirements.

**Questions**

1. The purpose of presenting testimony before the Commission this evening is to request affirmation of the validity and sufficiency of the Candidate residency requirement exemption created under Public Officer Law §3 (19) , waving the general requirement of residency within the district under provision of the existing 10 year “recurring district” redistricting plan, by virtue of the unavailability of specific Redistrict publications (“Federal 10-Year Redistricting Rules”) .
2. And for an official ruling on whether or not it is the intention of the Commission to redraw the original Senatorial District boundary lines affirming residency of the Candidate within the district , before the *redistrict change* plan was submitted in 2015-16 , by virtue of the requirement of the “block on border” rule for inclusion of the drawing of the *Candidate’s residence* [address block] which may be situated in two multiple districts , within the proper designated political subdivision electoral district within which the electors electing him or her reside [IE. Senatorial District 25].
3. If not, in interim of a formal decision issued by the Commission on the drawing of the final District 25 border lines , notwithstanding the exemption under provision of Public Officer Law §3 are there any further requirements imposed by law to obtain direct access to the Party Petitions and Ballots within this particular recently formed District if so, to whom should application be made for approval to access the ballot under entitlement of the block on border rule.

**The following includes law excerpts from Article §3 of the Public Officer Law subdivisions (1) , (2) , and (19) , current State Constitutional and Federal Redistricting Rules are presented in testimony of evidence of :**

1. Provision of a general exemption from the Candidate residency requirement generally imposed by law , under the Public Officer Law ;

 and

1. Constitutional and Federal Redistricting Rule ( “**block on border**” ) requiring inclusion of “towns and blocks that could be placed in multiple districts, be kept together and assigned to one single district than be divided up.”

**Excerpts from Public Officer Law § 3 ;**

**Subdivision (1) :**

 1. No person shall be capable of holding a civil office who shall not, at the time he or she shall be chosen thereto, have attained the age of eighteen years, except that in the case of youth boards, youth commissions, recreation commissions, or community boards in the city of New York only, members of such boards or commissions may be under the age of eighteen years, but must have attained the age of sixteen years on or before appointment to such youth board, youth commission, recreation commission, or community board in the city of New York, be a citizen of the United States, a resident of the state, and if it be a local office, a resident of the political subdivision or municipal

**1. [continued]**

corporation of the state for which he or she shall be chosen, or within which the electors electing him or her reside, or within which his or her official functions are required to be exercised, or who shall have been or shall be convicted of a violation of the selective draft act of the United States   1, enacted May eighteenth, nineteen hundred seventeen, or the acts amendatory or supplemental thereto, or of the federal selective training and service act of nineteen hundred forty   2 or the acts amendatory thereof or supplemental thereto.

**Subdivision (2)**

**2.** Neither the provisions of this section or of any general, special or local law, charter, code, ordinance, resolution, rule or regulation, requiring a person to be a resident of the political subdivision or municipal corporation of the state for which he shall be chosen or within which his official functions are required to be exercised, shall apply to the appointment of a person as a member of the police force of any political subdivision or municipal corporation of the state if such person resides (a) in the county in which such political subdivision or municipal corporation is located;  or (b) in a county within the state contiguous to the county in which such political subdivision or municipal corporation is located;  or (c) in a county within the state contiguous to such political subdivision or municipal corporation;  or (d) in a county within the state contiguous to a county described in item (c)

**2. [continued]**

thereof where the former is less than fifteen miles from such political subdivision or municipal corporation, measured from their respective

nearest boundary lines;  or (e) in a county within the state contiguous to a county described in item (d) hereof where the former is less than thirty miles from such political subdivision or municipal corporation, measured from their respective nearest boundary lines.

**Subdivision (19)**

**19.** Any person who resides in this state and who is currently employed as a member of the police force, a paid member of the uniformed force of a paid fire department or department of corrections in the correctional service classification of the classified civil service, of a city of over one million population, shall be exempt from the provisions of subdivisions one, two and nine of this section upon compliance with the procedure set forth in this subdivision.  Any person seeking to benefit from the exemption created by this subdivision shall notify his respective employer in writing of said intention within thirty days from the effective date of this subdivision 4 and shall specify his then current residence address.  The exemption created by this subdivision shall be applicable only to said actual designated residence and not to any residence that any subject currently employed member may thereafter establish;  provided,

**19. [continued]**

however, that any such currently employed member who resides outside this state shall have one year from the effective date of this subdivision within which to establish residence as required pursuant to subdivisions one, two and nine of this section and comply with the notice requirements of this subdivision.  Said residence shall constitute a lawful residence for all purposes notwithstanding any provision to the contrary of any general, special or local law, charter, code, ordinance, resolution, rule or regulation.